

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/680,308	1	0/06/2000	Hubertus J.M. Bosman	PM 274361 9271US/CON/WO	9025
909	7590	08/01/2002			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP				EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, V				GRIFFIN, WAL	TER DEAN
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1764	10
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002	70

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/680,308	BOSMAN ET AL.
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
		Walter D. Griffin	1764
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Theref inal re conditi	REPLY FILED 24 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE TOPE TOPE TO PLACE THE TOPE TOPE TO PLACE TOPE TO PLACE TOPE TO PLACE TO PLA	avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendm	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
	PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	o)]
a) 🗵			
ave bee	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). The period of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The central filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of external filed is the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteness.	than SIX MONTHS from the maili S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH date on which the petition under 3 ension and the corresponding amo	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under
arned p	e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three noteth term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	·	
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a)	$\ igsim$ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)	★ they present additional claims without cancellation ★ they present additional claims without cancellation.	eling a corresponding nun	ber of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request 1 application in condition for allowance because: _		en considered but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the contract of the		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	
	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.		

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

10. Other: ___

Walter D. Griffin **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1764

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation in claim 1 that the catalyst is sulfur-free raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.